

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/743,383	12/23/2003	Yoshiaki Shimizu	12073-0004 7926			
22902	7590 07/21/2006		EXAMINER			
CLARK & BRODY			LAZORCIK, JASON L			
1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1731		
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/21/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/743,383		SHIMIZU, YOSHIAKI				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jason L. Laz	orcik	1731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the co	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANISIONS OF time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community to the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, unication. Intury period will apply and will exit. by statute, cause the application.	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ion to become ABANDONED	. ely filed he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 23 December 200	3.					
	•	b)⊠ This action is non						
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
•			objected to by the E	xaminer.				
<i>,</i> —	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority document	s have been receive	d in this National Stage				
	application from the Internation	ıal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).					
* 8	see the attached detailed Office action	i for a list of the certified	d copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	` '							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PI nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5)		atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 through 8, drawn to A method for processing a preform, classified in class 65, subclass 272.
- II. Claims 8 through 17, drawn to a burner system, classified in class 48, subclass 180.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I) and (II) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as disclosed can be utilized in a materially different process such as a welding torch.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Christopher Brody on July 12, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,383

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Lazorcik whose telephone number is (571) 272-2217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,383 Page 4

Art Unit: 1731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLL

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700